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Introduction

I choose to write about Jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly". The jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly" was released in May of 2000 by Phonix.

According to the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) CVE-2000-0305 (1), "Windows 95, Windows 98, Windows NT 4.0, Windows 2000, and Terminal Server systems allow a remote attacker to cause a denial of service by sending a large number of identical fragmented IP packets". The other operating systems or machines can also be damaged by this exploit like Cisco 26xx, Cisco 25xx, Cisco 4500, Cisco 36xx, Be/OS 5.0, Network Associates Gauntlet, Firewall-1 on Solaris … (2).

In my practical assignment I tested exploit to Windows NT 4.0 and I will set focus on Windows NT 4.0.

1. Exploit Details

Name: jolt2 or "IP Fragment Re-assembly"
CVE: CVE-2000-0305
Variants: Jolt ICMP attack
Protocols/Services: Illegally fragmented ICMP ECHOs, illegally fragmented UDP packets
Brief Description: A denial of service attack that causes an NT machine to crash by sending a large number of identical fragmented IP packets.
2. Protocol Description

Jolt2 is a program that sends a large number of identical illegally fragmented ICMP Echo or illegally fragmented UDP packets.

TCP/IP protocols map to a four-layer model: Link interface, Network, Transport, and Application.

The Link interface layer is the base of the model and it is responsible for putting frames on the wire and pulling frames off the wire.

Network layer is responsible for addressing, packing and routing functions. Network layer consists of three protocols: Internet protocol (IP), Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) and Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP). Internet protocol is responsible for addressing, routing packets between hosts and networks, fragmentation and re-assembly of packets. Address Resolution Protocol is used to obtain hardware addresses of hosts on the same physical layer. Internet Control Message Protocol sends messages and reports errors regarding the delivery of a packet.

Transport layer is responsible for providing communication between two hosts. There are two protocols at the transport layer:
- Transmission control Protocol or TCP provides connection oriented communication, which means that TCP first establishes a session between two hosts before any data is exchanged. It is reliable communication for application.
- User datagram Protocol or UDP provides connectionless communication, which means that a session is not established between two hosts before exchanging of data, and does not guarantee that packet will be delivered.

Application layer is on the top of the model. This layer is where applications gain access to the network.

"Fragmentation is a process in which an IP datagram is broken into smaller pieces to fit the requirements of a given physical network. The reverse process is named re-assembly" (3). IP can handle fragmentation and re-assembly with a identification field, a flag MF (more fragment) and a Fragment offset field, in an IP header. The identification field uniquely identifies every packet. The More fragment flag has the next values:
- 0 - that is the last fragment of this datagram,
- 1 - this is not the last fragment.

The Fragment offset indicates the position of the fragment relative to the original IP payload. In the first fragment, this value is always zero.

To show fragmentation in practice I choose traces underneath. The first example indicates not fragmented ICMP packet and the second example indicates fragmented ICMP packets. Examples one and two are not showing the hole packets, but just part of them.
Example 1:

**IP: ----- IP Header -----**

- IP: Total length = 60 bytes
- **IP: Identification** = 46881
- IP: Flags = 0X
- IP: .0.. .... = may fragment
- IP: ..0. .... = last fragment
- IP: Fragment offset = 0 bytes
- IP: Time to live = 32 seconds/hops
- IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)

**ICMP: ----- ICMP header -----**

- ICMP:
  - ICMP: Type = 8 (Echo)
  - ICMP: Code = 0

Essential values from trace above, which are related to fragmentation are:

- **Identification** = 46881
- **Total length** = 60
- More Fragments = 0
- Fragment offset = 0

Example 2:

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - Packet 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

**IP: ----- IP Header -----**

- IP: Total length = 1500 bytes
- **IP: Identification** = 35362
- **IP: Flags** = 2X
- IP: .0.. .... = may fragment
- IP: ..1. .... = more fragments
- IP: Fragment offset = 0 bytes
- IP: Time to live = 32 seconds/hops
- IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)

**ICMP: ----- ICMP header -----**

- ICMP:
  - ICMP: Type = 8 (Echo)
  - ICMP: Code = 0

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - Packet 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

**IP: ----- IP Header -----**

- IP: Total length = 1500 bytes
Essential values from trace above, which are related to fragmentation are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Packet 1</th>
<th>Packet 2</th>
<th>Packet 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>35362</td>
<td>35362</td>
<td>35362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length</td>
<td>1500-20*=1480</td>
<td>1500-20*=1480</td>
<td>68-20*=48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More fragments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment offset</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>2960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - IP header

All three packets have the same identification 35362. The More fragment flag, for the first and second packet is 1 (more fragment) and third packet is 0 (last fragment). The Fragment offset, for the first packet, is 0 (first fragment) and then 1480 and 2960. This means that length of the packet 1 and packet 2 is 1480.

The buffer on the receiver's side looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1480 bytes</th>
<th>1480 bytes</th>
<th>48 bytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3. Description of Variants

Older version of jolt2 is Jolt ICMP attack (4), which is also Denial of Service attack. Jolt ICMP attack causes denial of service in Windows 95 and Windows NT systems. CVE number is CAN-1999-0345.
One of the differences between jolt2 and Jolt ICMP attack is that jolt2 can't spoof source address, but Jolt ICMP attack can spoof source address. The other difference is Jolt ICMP attack sends only ICMP packets.

Additional Information:

http://members.tripod.com/html_editor/jolt.c
http://www.winplanet.com/winplanet/reports/561/1/
http://members.nbci.com/ruscorp/text/pngjtech.txt

4. How the Exploit Works (Detailed description)

The attacker sends the same IP packets (illegally fragmented ICMP ECHOs or illegally fragmented UDP packets) to the attacked machine.

As listed in (5) "the affected systems contain a flaw in the code that performs IP fragment re-assembly. If a continuous stream of fragmented IP datagrams with a particular malformation were sent to an affected machine, it could be made to devote most or all of its CPU ability to processing them. The data rate needed to completely deny service varies depending on the machine and network conditions, but in most cases even relatively moderate rate would suffice."

5. Diagram

An attacker (Linux), Windows NT 4.0 server and one WS are connected to a switch (see Figure 1). WS, which pings the server, is used to point denial of attack of the server.
a. Attacker starts on command
   # ./jolt2 -s attacker server

b. TCPDUMP on the attacker's machine shows next lines:
   22:23:37.441693 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.444247 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.444412 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.444563 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.444713 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.444860 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.445011 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.445161 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.445311 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   22:23:37.445459 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520)
   ...

If an additional WS pings server under attack, a screen shows following lines:
Pinging server with 32 bytes of data:
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
Request timed out.
c. On the server, during attack, fragmented packets use 100 % of the CPU and temporarily stop performing useful work.

6. How to use the exploit

Compilation:

```
# cc -o jolt2 jolt2.c,
```

Running the program:

After compiling the program is ready to use. Depending on which command is used, the program sends ICMP or UDP packets.

Usage: ./jolt2 [-s src_addr] [-p port] dest_addr
Note: UDP used if a port is specified, otherwise ICMP

We can conclude that easiness of exploit's usage lays in these uncomplicated steps.

7. Signature of the attack

To illustrate the signature of the attack I used TCPDUMP (http://www.tcpdump.org/) and Sniffer Pro from Network Associates (http://www.nai.com/).

The following traces are following:
- ICMP - TCPDUMP (a)
- ICMP - Sniffer Pro (b)
- UDP - TCPDUMP (c)
- UDP - Sniffer Pro (d)

a. ICMP - TCPDUMP

```
22:07:11.442884 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520) 4500 001d 0455 1ffe ff 01 190d xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx 0800 0000 0000 0000 00
```

b. ICMP - Sniffer Pro

```
DLC: ----- DLC Header -----
DLC:
```

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2002 As part of GIAC practical repository. Author retains full rights.
DLC: Frame 4 arrived at xx:xx:xx:xxxx; frame size is 60 (003C hex) bytes.
DLC: Destination = Station 00600860F022
DLC: Source = Station 00902706578B
DLC: Ethertype = 0800 (IP)
DLC:
IP: ----- IP Header ----- 
IP:   
IP: Version = 4, header length = 20 bytes
IP: Type of service = 00
IP:   000. ..... = routine
IP:   ...0 .... = normal delay
IP:   .... 0... = normal throughput
IP:   ..... .0.. = normal reliability
IP: Total length = 29 bytes
IP: Identification = 1109
IP: Flags = 0X
IP:   .0.. .... = may fragment
IP:   ..0. .... = last fragment
IP: Fragment offset = 65520 bytes
IP: Time to live = 255 seconds/hops
IP: Protocol = 1 (ICMP)
IP: Header checksum = 190D (correct)
IP: Source address = [attacker]
IP: Destination address = [server]
IP: No options
IP:   [26 bytes of data continuation of IP ident = 1109]
IP:   
ADDR HEX ASCII
0000: 00 60 08 60 f0 22 00 90 27 06 57 8b 08 00 45 00 | .`.`ð"..'.W...E.
0010: 00 1d 04 55 1f fe ff 01 19 0d xx xx xx xx xx xx | ...U............
0020: xx xx 08 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | ................
0030: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | ............
c. UDP - TCPDUMP

22:08:28.406540 attacker > server: (frag 1109:9@65520) 4500 001d 0455 1ffe ff11 18fd xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx 04d7 0097 0009 0000 61
d. UDP - Sniffer Pro

DLC: ----- DLC Header ----- 
DLC:
DLC: Frame 20 arrived at xx:xx:xx:xxxx; frame size is 60 (003C hex) bytes.
DLC: Destination = Station 00600860F022
DLC: Source = Station 00902706578B
DLC: Ethertype = 0800 (IP)
DLC:
The signatures of the attack are showed in the traces above and they are:
- Total length = 29 / 0x001d,
- Identification = 1109 / 0x0455,
- Last fragment = 0,
- Fragment offset = 65520 (8190) / 0x1ffe,
- Time to live = 255 / 0xff.

8. How to protect against it

To protect from the exploit patches have to be applied and they are available at the Microsoft:
- Windows 95
  http://download.microsoft.com/download/win95/update/8070/w95/EN-US/259728USA5.EXE
- Windows 98
- Windows NT 4.0 Workstation, Server and Server, Enterprise Edition:
9. Source code/ Pseudo code

The source code can be found at (6) and the code is described as: "This is the proof-of-concept code for the Windows denial-of-service attack described by the Razor team (NTBugtraq, 19-May-00) (MS00-029). This code causes cpu utilization to go to 100% ".

The below lines comes from jolt2.c:

```c
... 
pkt.ip.version = 4; 
 pkt.ip.ihl = 5; 
 pkt.ip.tot_len = htons(iplen + icmplen) + 40; 
 pkt.ip.id = htons(0x455); 
 pkt.ip.ttl = 255; 
 pkt.ip.protocol = (port ? IPPROTO_UDP : IPPROTO_ICMP); 
 pkt.ip.saddr = src_addr; 
 pkt.ip.daddr = dst_addr; 
 pkt.ip.frag_off = htons (8190); 

 if (port) 
 { 
  pkt.proto.udp.source = htons(port|1235); 
  pkt.proto.udp.dest = htons(port); 
  pkt.proto.udp.len = htons(9); 
  pkt.data = 'a'; 
 } else { 
  pkt.proto.icmp.type = ICMP_ECHO; 
  pkt.proto.icmp.code = 0; 
  pkt.proto.icmp.checksum = 0; 
 } 
...
```

In the lines of the source code we can recognize some of the values mentioned in "Signature of the attack", for example identification, time to live, fragmentation offset. In the source code IP checksum is set to zero and it is illegal. In the traces showed in this assignment checksum is correct, because checksum is automatically computed by NIC.
10. Additional Information, Resources and References

3. TCP/IP Tutorial and Technical Overview, Document Number GG24-3376-03, December 1992
## Upcoming SANS Penetration Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Jun 22</td>
<td>Jun 22, 2020 - Jun 27, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Defence Australia Online 2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Jun 22, 2020 - Jul 04, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC504 @ JPL NASA</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Jun 22, 2020 - Jun 29, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Japan Live Online July 2020</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Jun 29, 2020 - Jul 11, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS SEC504 (In Spanish) Online 2020</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Jun 29, 2020 - Jul 10, 2020</td>
<td>vLive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing, and Ethical Hacking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Pen Test Hackfest Training 2020</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Jul 06, 2020 - Jul 11, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Summer of Cyber</td>
<td>Jul 6</td>
<td>Jul 06, 2020 - Jul 17, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Handling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC617 Asia Pacific Online 2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Jul 13, 2020 - Jul 18, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Attack the Summer 2020</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Jul 20, 2020 - Jul 31, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-Cybersecure Catalyst New Career Academy SEC504</td>
<td>Brampton, ON</td>
<td>Jul 20, 2020 - Jul 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-Cybersecure Catalyst Women Academy SEC504</td>
<td>Brampton, ON</td>
<td>Jul 20, 2020 - Jul 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS Cybersecure Catalyst New Canadian Academy SEC504</td>
<td>Brampton, ON</td>
<td>Jul 20, 2020 - Jul 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Rocky Mountain Summer 2020</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Jul 20, 2020 - Jul 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Summer of Cyber</td>
<td>Jul 27</td>
<td>Jul 27, 2020 - Aug 01, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Europe Pen Test Special 2020</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 08, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South by Southeast Asia Online 2020</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 14, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC560 @ JPL NASA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 10, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Aug 3 MT</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 08, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Aug 3 ET</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 08, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Handling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Aug 10 ET</td>
<td>Aug 10, 2020 - Aug 15, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Handling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Aug 17 MT</td>
<td>Aug 17, 2020 - Aug 22, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Defence APAC Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Aug 17, 2020 - Aug 22, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Essentials Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Aug 17, 2020 - Aug 22, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>